

Poster Presentation or Research Paper Rubric

| Item | 4 Points | 3 points | 2 points | 1 point | 0 points |
|-------------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|
| Title and Abstract | An appropriate title used . Summarizes research (research question, hypothesis, procedure, conclusions) in a paragraph of 100 words or less; good use of organization, prediction also stated. | The title is less straightforward. Summarizes research but is too brief or too lengthy; does not state conclusion; fair use of organization and conventions present in the abstract but poorly worded. | Title is vague. Attempts to summarize research, but does not do a thorough job or is confusing; poor use of organization. | Title is poor. Attempts to summarize research is inappropriate. | No title. No abstract completed. |
| Hypothesis | Specific testable question identified and described; predication also stated. | Present but not accurately worded. | Presented somewhere, poor. | Inappropriate | Not present anywhere. |
| Procedure | Summarizes protocol used, including maps and vegetation /animal summary; photos or layout . | Summarized used, includes dimensions and vegetation/animals. | Brief and incomplete description of protocol; reader has unanswered questions; | Inappropriate description of protocol used; | No procedure included. |
| Data | Presented using graphs, tables, that help the reader see the data. Is presented in clear and well organized presentation with keys and titles present, proper units of measurement. | Uses graphs and tables that are understandable but somewhat incomplete or disorganized. | Creates displays for communicating observations or measurements that are substantially inaccurate, incomplete, or disorganized. | Inaccurate, incomplete, inappropriate. | No data presented. |
| Discussion/ Conclusion | Discusses hypothesis; refers to data; makes logical inferences; proposes explanation for results if not as predicted; convincing and well-organized, makes sense scientifically; evidence of careful analysis, thought; includes statistical analysis. | Discusses hypothesis; refers to data; makes logical inferences; proposes explanation for results if not as predicted; some discussion of statistical test results. | Discusses hypothesis but is not complete or does not explain results; statistical hypothesis analysis is missing. | Discusses hypothesis is inappropriate. | Missing or does not refer to or is not drawn from the data. |
| Overall Appearance | Poster or research report has a professional appearance, is well balanced and attractive; graphics / visuals used to enhance appearance. | Poster or research report is neatly done, but lacks the extra care and attention that would give it a professional appearance. | Poster or report is sloppy and shows little concern or care for presentation. | Poor overall. | Research was not mounted or written up as a report. |